ACTIVE

#### SMAQMD BACT CLEARINGHOUSE

CATEGORY: IC ENGINE SPARK - PRIME BACT Size: Small Emitter BACT (PTE < 10 lb/day) **DEGASSING - IC ENGINE BACT Determination Number:** 173 BACT Determination Date: 4/11/2018 Equipment Information Permit Number: 25320 **Equipment Description: DEGASSING - IC ENGINE** EXPIRED Unit Size/Rating/Capacity: 2 - 49 HP Spark Ignited Engines, at 998 hr **Equipment Location:** PROACT FSI-FIELD SPECIALTIES INC **BACT Determination Information** 50 ppmvd @ 3% O2 as Hexane Standard: ROCs Technology See BACT for complete standard **Description:** Basis: Achieved in Practice None Standard: NOx 3 way catalyst and air to fuel ratio controller Technology Description: Basis: Cost Effective 40 ppmvd Standard: SOx Technology Description: Achieved in Practice **Basis**: None Standard: **PM10** Technology Use of Natural Gas or LPG as secondary Fuel Description: Basis: Achieved in Practice None Standard: PM2.5 Use of Natural Gas or LPG as secondary Fuel Technology **Description:** Achieved in Practice Basis: None Standard: CO 3 way catalyst and air to fuel ratio controller Technology **Description:** Achieved in Practice Basis: Standard: LEAD Technology Description: Basis: Comments: District Contact: Venk Reddy Phone No.: (916) 874 - 4861 email: vreddy@airquality.org

Printed: 3/22/2019





## BEST AVAILABLE CONTROL TECHNOLOGY DETERMINATION

|                                     | DETERMINATION NO.:   | 173        |
|-------------------------------------|--|------------|
| EXPIRED                             | DATE:  | 12/14/17   |
|                                     | ENGINEER:  | Venk Reddy |
| Category/General Equip Description: |  |            |
| Equipment Specific Description:     | Spark ignited engine rated to less than 50 HP, fired<br>on natural gas or LPG and VOC laden fuel flow. |            |
| Equipment Size/Rating:              | Minor Source BACT  |            |
| evious BACT Det. No.: N/A           |  |            |

This BACT was determined under the project for A/C 25320.

#### BACT ANALYSIS

## A: ACHIEVED IN PRACTICE (Rule 202, §205.1a)

The following control technologies are currently employed as BACT from an engine rated less than 50 HP used for VOC remediation.

| District/Agency | Best Available Control Technology (BACT)/Requirements  |  |
|-----------------|--|--|
| US EPA          | BACT         Source: EPA RACT/BACT/LAER Clearinghouse         For portable tank degassing systems with an IC engine as the control.         VOC       N/A – No BACT determinations found         NOx       N/A – No BACT determinations found         SOx       N/A – No BACT determinations found         PM10       N/A – No BACT determinations found         PM2.5       N/A – No BACT determinations found         PM2.5       N/A – No BACT determinations found         CO       N/A – No BACT determinations found         CO       N/A – No BACT determinations found         RULE REQUIREMENTS:         None         There are no standards that cover portable spark ignited engines rated at 49 HP or degassing operations that use an engine for control. |  |

BACT Determination 49 HP engine used for VOC Abatement December 14, 2017 Page 2 of 9

| District/Agency | Best Available Control Technology (BACT)/Requirements   |  |  |
|-----------------|---|--|--|
|                 | BACT<br>Source: ARB BACT Clearinghouse<br>RULE REQUIREMENTS:<br>None  |  |  |
| ARB             | For a spark ignited engine rated less than 50 HP used for tank degassingVOCNo standardNOxNo standardSOxNo standardPM10No standardPM2.5No standardCONo standardThere are no standards that cover portable spark ignited engines rated at 49 HP or degassing operations that use an engine for control.   |  |  |
|                 | BACT         For a spark ignited engine rated less than 50 HP used for tank degassing         VOC       No standard         NOx       No standard         SOx       No standard         PM10       No standard         PM2.5       No standard         CO       No standard         RULE REQUIREMENTS:         Rule 420 Sulfur Content of Fuels (8/13/81)         Section 301 limits the sulfur content of any gaseous fuel to 50 gr/scf, calculated as H <sub>2</sub> S at standard conditions (equivalent to 809 ppmv as H <sub>2</sub> S). |  |  |

,

BACT Determination 49 HP engine used for VOC Abatement December 14, 2017 Page 3 of 9

|                     | BACT         For a spark ignited engine rated less than 50 HP used for tank degassing         VOC       No standard         NOx       No standard         SOx       No standard         PM10       No standard   |
|---------------------|--|
|                     | PM2.5 No standard  |
|                     | CO No standard   |
| South Coast<br>AQMD | <ul> <li>Per Ken Matsuda of SCAQMD (909-396-2656) the BACT listed for portable spark<br/>ignited engines is not applicable to a 49 HP engine nor for an engine used for degassing<br/>tanks. SCAQMD did not consider this BACT or Rule 1147 in the permitting of this unit in<br/>the SCAQMD and used the equipment specs and vendor data to determine permittable<br/>emission limits. No BACT determination was made as a result of the permitting of this<br/>equipment.</li> <li><u>RULE REQUIREMENTS</u>:</li> <li><u>Regulation XI, Rule 1110.2 Emissions from Gaseous and Liquid fueled Engines</u><br/>(6/3/16) This rule is not applicable since it only applies to engines rated over 50 brake<br/>horsepower.</li> <li><u>Regulation XI, Rule 1147 NOX Reduction from Miscellaneous Sources</u><br/>(7/7/17) This rule is not applicable to internal combustion engines.</li> <li><u>Regulation XI, Rule 1149 Storage Tank and Pipeline Cleaning and Degassing</u><br/>(5/2/08)<br/>Section 1149(c)(1)(B) requires the VOC concentration of the degassed tanks to be reduced<br/>to less than 5,000 ppmv, measured as methane at least 1 hour after degassing has<br/>ceased. Section 1149(c)(8) requires the VOC concentration in the exhaust stream of any<br/>control device to be less than 500 ppmv, measured as methane. This is equivalent to a<br/>control device efficiency of 90%.</li> <li><u>Rule 431.1 Sulfur Content of Gaseous Fuels (6/12/98)</u><br/>Section (c)(2) limits the sulfur content of a gaseous fuel to 40 ppmv as H<sub>2</sub>S.</li> </ul> |
|                     | Source: NSR Requirements for BACT.   |
| San Diego           |  |
| County APCD         | For a spark ignited engine rated less than 50 HP used for tank degassing   |
|                     | VOC No standard  |
|                     | NOx No standard  |
|                     |  |

BACT Determination 49 HP engine used for VOC Abatement December 14, 2017 Page 4 of 9

|          | SOx No standard   |  |
|----------|---|--|
|          | PM10 No standard  |  |
|          | PM2.5   No standard   |  |
|          | CO No standard  |  |
|          |   |  |
|          | RULE REQUIREMENTS:<br>Rule 62 Sulfur Content of Fuels (10/21/81)  |  |
|          | Section (b)(1) requires any gaseous fuel to contain no more than 10 grains of sulfur compounds, calculated as hydrogen sulfide, per 100 cubic feet of dry gaseous fuel at standard conditions (equivalent to 162 ppmv as H <sub>2</sub> S).   |  |
|          | BACT<br>Source: BAAQMD BACT Guideline   |  |
|          | For a spark ignited engine rated less than 50 HP used for tank degassing <b>VOC</b> No standard   |  |
|          |   |  |
|          |   |  |
|          |   |  |
|          |   |  |
| Bay Area | PM2.5 No standard   |  |
| AQMD     | CO No standard  |  |
|          | RULE REQUIREMENTS<br>Reg 8, Rule 5 Section 328.1  |  |
|          | For tanks larger than 75 m <sup>3</sup> the emissions of organic compounds resulting from degassing shall be controlled by an abatement device that collects and processes all organic vapors and gasses and has an abatement efficiency of at least 90% by weight and operate the degassing equipment until the concentration of organic compounds in the tank is less than 10,000 ppm expressed as methane. |  |

BACT Determination 49 HP engine used for VOC Abatement December 14, 2017 Page 5 of 9

| San Joaquin<br>Valley APCD | BACT         Source:       SJVAPCD BACT Guideline         There is no achieved in practice requirements identified in BACT guideline 7.1.8.         Technologically feasible options are discussed in the appropriate section.         For a spark ignited engine rated less than 50 HP used for tank degassing.         VOC       No standard         NOx       No standard         SOx       No standard         PM10       No standard         PM2.5       No standard         CO       No standard         SMAQMD contacted SJCAPCD (Carlos Garcia 559-230-5893) regarding the validity of 7.1.8.A which lists standards that are not listed in the summary for this category. Per Mr. Garcia, the BACT was determined to be the control equipment and not the volumetric emission standard. They considered the control equipment as technologically feasible because of the age of the application. |
|----------------------------|---|
|                            | RULE REQUIREMENTS   |
|                            | Rule 4623 Storage of Organic Liquids (5/19/05)  |
|                            | Section 5.7.5.4.1 requires the operation of the degassing equipment until the organic vapor concentration is 5,000 ppmv or less, or is 10% or less of the lower explosion limit (LEL), whichever is less.   |

#### BACT Determination 49 HP engine used for VOC Abatement December 14, 2017 Page 6 of 9

The following control technologies have been identified as the most stringent, achieved in practice control technologies:

|           | BEST CONTROL TECHNOLOGIES ACHIEVED  |                              |  |
|-----------|---|------------------------------|--|
| Pollutant | Standard  | Source                       |  |
| VOC       | <ol> <li>The operation of the APCD shall<br/>continue until the gaseous VOC<br/>concentration within the tank/pipeline is<br/>reduced to 5,000 ppmv, measured as<br/>methane, for at least one hour after<br/>degassing operations have ceased (A).</li> <li>The operation of the APCD shall<br/>continue until the gaseous VOC<br/>concentration within the tank/pipeline is<br/>reduced to 5,000 ppmv or less, or is 10%<br/>or less of the lower explosion limit (LEL),</li> </ol> | SCAQMD (Rule 1149)           |  |
|           | <ul> <li>whichever is less (B).</li> <li>3. The operation of the APCD shall continue until the gaseous VOC concentration within the tank/pipeline is reduced to 10,000 ppmv.</li> </ul>   | BAAQMD (Regulation 8 Rule 5) |  |
| NOx       | None  | N/A                          |  |
| SOx       | 40 PPMV as H2S  | SCAQMD (Rule 431.1)          |  |
| PM10      | None  | N/A                          |  |
| PM2.5     | None  | N/A                          |  |
| со        | None  | N/A                          |  |

# B. TECHNOLOGICALLY FEASIBLE AND COST EFFECTIVE (Rule 202, §205.1.b.):

### Technologically Feasible Alternatives:

Any alternative basic equipment, fuel, process, emission control device or technique, singly or in combination, determined to be technologically feasible by the Air Pollution Control Officer.

The table below shows the technologically feasible alternatives identified as capable of reducing emissions beyond the levels determined to be "Achieved in Practice" as per Rule 202, §205.1.a.

| voc   | 50 ppmvd @ 3% O2 as hexane 3 way catalyst and air to fuel ratio controller, use of natural gas or LPG as secondary fuel                           |
|-------|---|
| NOx   | <ol> <li>1. 11 ppmvd @ 15% O2</li> <li>2. 3-way catalyst and air to fuel ratio controller, use of natural gas or LPG as secondary fuel</li> </ol> |
| SOx   | None  |
| PM10  | Use of Natural gas or LPG as secondary fuel   |
| PM2.5 | Use of Natural gas or LPG as secondary fuel   |
| CO    | 3 way catalyst and air to fuel ratio controller, use of natural gas or LPG as secondary fuel  |

The applicant has proposed the use of a 3 way catalyst and air to fuel ratio controller. Similar to the degassing operation using a thermal oxidizer, the applicant has shown that treating the fuel for sulfur is possible. The similar requirements will be added to this BACT determination.

#### VOC Control

SMAQMD has a BACT for degassing a tank with the use of a thermal oxidizer. (SMAQMD BACT #121). This BACT has established a VOC emission rate of 50 ppmvd @ 3% O2 as Hexane as the emission rate. This was determined by BACT determinations at other agencies that have emission standards for degassing with a thermal oxidizer as a control devise. It is therefore technically feasible for a degassing operation to meet this standard and is assumed to be cost effective.

#### NOx Control

Since the engines used in this degassing operation are very similar to 50 hp engines for which a BACT standard of 11 ppmvd at 15% O2 has been established, these engines will be evaluated for technological feasibility and cost effectiveness. Though a degassing engine faces specific challenges due to changing fuel quality and quantity that might make this type of emission standard not technically feasible, for the purposes of this evaluation, it will be assumed to be technically feasible and the analysis will be focused only on cost effectiveness. See below for the cost effectiveness determination

#### PM10 Control

The applicant has stated that propane will be used as the secondary fuel for the engine. Therefore it is technologically feasible and assumed to be cost effective.

#### PM2.5 Control

The applicant has stated that propane will be used as the secondary fuel for the engine. Therefore it is technologically feasible and assumed to be cost effective.

BACT Determination 49 HP engine used for VOC Abatement December 14, 2017 Page 8 of 9

The applicant has stated that propane will be used as the secondary fuel for the engine and is proposing an air to fuel ratio controller, therefore it is technologically feasible and assumed to be cost effective.

#### Cost Effective Determination:

#### Maximum Cost per Ton of Air Pollutants Controlled

1. A control technology is considered to be cost-effective if the cost of controlling one ton of that air pollutant is less than the limits specified below (except coating operations):

| Pollutant       | <u>Maximum Cost (\$/ton)</u> |
|-----------------|------------------------------|
| ROG             | 17,500                       |
| NO <sub>X</sub> | 24,500                       |
| PM10            | 11,400                       |
| SOx             | 18,300                       |
| CO              | TBD if BACT triggered        |

#### Cost Effectiveness Analysis Summary

#### NOx Control

To meet a NOx emission standard of 11 ppmvd @ 15% O2, SMAQMD, as part of the proposed engine rule, has determined that the annualized cost to meet this standard is \$3,359 per engine. The engine used to develop this cost was a 225 HP rich burn spark ignited engine. Though the engines that are the subject of this BACT determination are smaller, the control equipment would be similar (3-way catalyst and A/F ratio controller). Therefore this annualized cost estimate will be assumed to be equal for a smaller engine and will be doubled since there are two engines. As for baseline emissions, the SCAQMD, when permitting these degassing engines, determined the uncontrolled NOx emission limit to be 200 PPM.

Therefore, at 998 hours per year of operation for both engines, the mass emissions of NOx would be 580 lbs at 200 PPM and 32 lbs at 11 PPM. This results in approximately 0.274 tons of NOx reduced. With an annualized cost of \$6,718, any operation of the engine set at 998 hours or less will result in a cost effectiveness value of greater than \$24,500 and therefore will be not be cost effective. Therefore the operational limit will be set to 998 hours per year for the engine set.

Refer to attachment A for a complete cost analysis.

#### C. SELECTION OF BACT:

| For a spark ignited engine rated less than 50 HP used for VOC remediation Operating less than 998 hours per year. |   |        |
|---|---|--------|
| Pollutant   | Standard  | Source |
| VOC   | 50 ppmvd @ 3% O <sub>2</sub> as Hexane; the operation of<br>the control must continue until the gaseous VOC<br>concentration within the tank/pipeline is reduced<br>to 5,000 ppmvd, measured as methane, for at |        |

BACT Determination 49 HP engine used for VOC Abatement December 14, 2017 Page 9 of 9

|       | least one hour after degassing operations have<br>ceased. The use of a 3 way catalyst and air to<br>fuel ratio controller and the use of natural gas or<br>LPG as secondary fuel |                   |
|-------|--|-------------------|
| NOx   | No Standard, 3 way catalyst and air to fuel ratio<br>controller, use of natural gas or LPG as<br>secondary fuel, limited to a maximum of 998<br>hours/year                       | New Determination |
| SOx   | 40 PPMVD of H2S in Fuel  | SCAQMD            |
| PM10  | Use of Natural gas or LPG as secondary fuel  | New Determination |
| PM2.5 | Use of Natural gas or LPG as secondary fuel  | New Determination |
| со    | No Standard, 3 way catalyst and air to fuel ratio controller, use of natural gas or LPG as secondary fuel  | New Determination |

#### **REVIEWED BY:**

DATE:

**APPROVED BY:** 

1

DATE:

4/11/18

| Attachment A             | Cost analysis to meet 11 PPMVD of NOx |
|--------------------------|---------------------------------------|
|                          |                                       |
| 200 ppm of NOx (A)       | 500 020202 U.L                        |
| 11 PPM of NOx (A)        | 580.072727 lb./year                   |
|                          | 31.904 lb./year                       |
| NOx controlled           |                                       |
| rest donu onod           | 548,168727 lb./year                   |
|                          | 0.27408436 tons/year                  |
| Total cost per engine    |                                       |
| Total cost per equipment | \$3,359                               |
| Cost/ NOx Controlled     | \$6.718                               |
| COST NOX CONTOILED       | \$24,510.70 \$/ton                    |

NSCR Cost Estimate for California Resources Production, P/O 18844

(A) Volumetric emissions were converted to mass using the physical parameters of the engine exhaust which were 77 cfm per engine, 250F and 1 ATM MW of NOx was estimated at the molecular weight of NO2 or 46.01 g/mol and assuming the exhaust is 0% O2

| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | versation between Kevin Williams and Robert Bono, 4/12/17         |  |
|---------------------------------------|---|--|
|                                       | plus follow-up email from Robert Bono, 4/12/17<br>Johnson Matthey |  |
|                                       | (949) 307-1,265   |  |
| Information ablate                    |   |  |
| Information optair                    | ed for a 225-hp rich burn engine fueled with natural gas;         |  |
|                                       | Equipment Model:  | Modulex W30, stainless steel w/ critical grade silencer            |
|                                       | Equipment Cost;   | \$9,600  |
|                                       | Installation;   | \$1,000  |
|                                       | Catalyst Life:  | 2 years  |
|                                       | Annual Maintenance Labor:   | \$500  |
|                                       | Other Recurring Costs:  | 40 GV  |
|                                       | Replace catalyst every 2 years:                                   | \$2,200  |
|                                       | Wash catalyst every 2 years (in years catalyst not                | ,  |
|                                       | . replaced)   |  |
|                                       | Assumed Inlet (uncontrolled) NOx:                                 | 13 g/hp-hr   |
|                                       | Required Outlet NOx:  | 0.15 g/hp-hr   |
| initial Costs:                        |   |  |
| ional cosis.                          | <b>M</b> ( )  | ,  |
|                                       | Equipment   | \$9,600  |
|                                       | Installation  | \$1,000  |
|                                       | Total Initial Cost  | \$10,600   |
|                                       | Annualized Initial Cost   | \$1,509 per year   |
| Annual Costs:                         |   |  |
| Cardinan Contraction                  | Maintenance Labor   |  |
|                                       |   | , \$500  |
|                                       | Catalyst Replacement  | \$1,100 1/2 of catalyst cost because it is replaced every two year |
|                                       | Catalyst Wash   | \$250 1/2 of wash cost because it is washed every two years        |
|                                       | Total Annual Cost   | \$1,850 per year   |
| Fotal Cost:                           |   |  |
|                                       | Annualized Initial Cost   |  |
|                                       |   | \$1,509  |
|                                       | Annual Cost   | \$1,850  |
|                                       | Total Cost  | \$3,359 per year   |

Note to file:

Response to comments to SMAQMD BACT #173 Degassing with Two 49 HP Engines

SMAQMD received one comment on 4/2/18 From Mike Joy.

#### Comment:

"RSI has extended considerable amount of effort in designing both the engine and air fuel controller to achieve desired emissions results. This alone makes it necessary (in my opinion) to call out the manufacturer of the engine and control system by name. Otherwise any 49 BHP engine with any air fuel controller would meet BACT as defined in this application. "

Response:

SMAQMD acknowledges the fact that the RSI engine system is the source of the BACT requirements. BACT requirements are not brand specific, but are based on emission rates. It would be up to future applicant that would be using the results of this BACT determination to meet the emission standards, with the chosen equipment.

Venk Reddy

4/11/18

#### Venk Reddy

| From:Mike Joy <mike.joy@rsi-save.com>Sent:Monday, April 2, 2018 11:47 AMTo:BACT DeterminationsSubject:Bact # 173 - Tank/Pipeline Degassing System with 2 - 49 HP Engines used for<br/>AbatementAttachments:V 4 specs 1-1-16.pdf; How is works - RSI air pollution and odor control<br/>equipment.pdf</mike.joy@rsi-save.com> |
|--|
|--|

To whom it may concern:

RSI is manufacturer of this proprietary LSG 875 engine rated at 49 BHP. That engine, coupled with its Phoenix 1000 A/F control system, is what designates the "achieved in practice" noted in the BACT application. I have attached RSI's V4 specification for your reference.

RSI has extended considerable amount of effort in designing both the engine and air fuel controller to achieve desired emissions results. This alone makes it necessary (in my opinion) to call out the manufacturer of the engine and control system by name. Otherwise any 49 BHP engine with any air fuel controller would meet BACT as defined in this application.

To my knowledge, there is no other manufacture of a 49 BHP engine with conventional A/F controller that will achieve the desired results because of the multiple fuel and oxygen source. See attached "How it works" to get a better understanding of the complexity of air pollution control using RSI's engine/control system.

Our engines were first given BACT recognition by SCAQMD in 1991 and has been improved ever since.

Air Resources Board

Statewide Best Available Control Technology (BACT) Clearinghouse

|   | and the second state of th | filler för sverige som state at se stat | For state to the finance of the state of the second state of | ٤.   |
|---|--|---|--|------|
|   |  | 7/26/01   |  | 1    |
|   | Anna and an  |   | 1891 IC engine   |      |
|   | Renadiation<br>Services  | (App. no. 26)(20))  | ticest on propano  |      |
| 2 | international  | A400-544-02   | Sabe incente   |      |
|   |  | *   | No hmit<br>(Expected   |      |
|   | Geil vanni   | Cineter Contact   | control  | :    |
| 1 | tiningaion systems   |   | efficiency of  |      |
|   |  | South Could A OM D  | (9.8%)   |      |
|   | yölyöt käällä fölme pärgala dore potazio dava ""F  | (904) 306-2531  |  |      |
|   |  | ۇمەتەر بەر ئېتېرىكى ئېزىغ بېتىن ئەرىۋە بەر بەر بەر بەر بەر بەر بەر بەر بەر بە                                   |  | -971 |

Thank you for your consideration.

Michael (Mike) Joy, Principal FSI Field Specialties, Inc. Remediation Service, Int'l 4835 Colt Street, Unit D Ventura, CA 93003 805.377.8619 CELL (preferred method of phone contact) 805.644.8382 x14 805.644.8378 FAX

This email message, including any documents accompanying this email, may contain confidential and/or proprietors